## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 PRAGUE 01516 271449Z

44

ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-14 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 SP-03 AID-20 EB-11 NSC-07 RSC-01 CIEP-02

TRSE-00 SS-20 STR-08 OMB-01 CEA-02 CIAE-00 INR-10

NSAE-00 FCSC-01 PA-04 PRS-01 DODE-00 FBO-01 A-01 M-02

DRC-01 /139 W

----- 053164

O P 271410Z JUN 74 FM AMEMBASSY PRAGUE TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6487 INFO US MISSION GENEVA PRIORITY 162

CONFIDENTIAL PRAGUE 1516

GENEVA FOR AMBASSADOR SHERER

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, EFIN, L/C, CZ, US SUBJECT: CLAIMS NEGOTIATIONS

- 1. EXCEPT FOR PROCEDURES FOR DELIVERY OF GOLD, COVERED IN DRAFT ANNEX A AND RELATED ARTICLES, AND FOR MINOR DETAILS, WE NOW HAVE FULL AGREEMENT ON TEXT OF CLAIMS AGREEMENT.
- 2. DIFFICULTIES CONCERNING GOLD PROCEDURES HAVE TWO CAUSES: (1) IT HAS ONLY NOW BECOME APPARENT THAT WE AND CZECHS (PLUS, APPARENTLY, BRITISH) HAD OVER YEARS HAD DIFFERENT UNDERSTANDING OF MEANING OF LANGUAGE OF 1964 US DRAFT ANNEX ON PROCEDURES, AND (2) CURRENT US DRAFT ANNEX IS NOT FULLY CONSISTENT WITH RELATED TERMS OF CZECH-BRITISH AGREEMENT ON GOLD DELIVERY AND PRELIMINARY PAYMENT TO BRITISH.
- 3. MISUNDERSTANDING, WE HAVE NOW LEARNED, ARISES FROM CZECH UNDERSTANDING THAT TERMS IVO 1964 ANNEX "HOLD AT CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PRAGUE 01516 271449Z

DISPOSAL OF" AND "HOLD FOR ACCOUNT OF" MEANT THAT GOLD WOULD PHYSICALLY BE MOVED TO ZURICH UNDER THEN-PLANNED ESCROW

ARRANGEMENT. ADDITIONALLY, NEW US ANNEX, WHICH REMOVES REFERENCE OY ZURICH ARRANGMENTS, HAS EFFECT OF UNDERCUTTING TERMS OF CZECH-BRITISH 1964 AGREEMENT UNDER WHICH CZECHS WOULD DEPOSIT ONE MILLION POUNDS UPON "TRANSFER" OF GOLD TO ZURICH.

4. CZECHS ARE AT SAME TIME CONCERNED THAT ANY PROCEDURE AGREED WITH US NOT INFRINGE ARRANGEMENTS WITH BRITISH, AND HIGHLY SENSITIVE THAT WE NOT CONSTRUE ANY EXPECTATION OF ACTUAL SHIPMENT OF GOLD AS SIGN OF MISTRUST OF US INTENTION. THEY ALSO ARE DISTRESSED AT RISK THAT THIS PROCEDURAL SNAG MIGHT AFFECT TIMING OF INITIALLING, WHICH THEY HOPE CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED JULY 2, JUST AFTER AMBASSADOR'S RETURN FRGXB GENEVA.

5. IN INTENSIVE FORMAL DISCUSSIONS, WE AND CZECHS HAVE AGREED

- FROM OUTSET THAT NO ISSUE EXISTS ON BASIC QUESTIONS, I.E. THAT GOLD WILL BE PLACED AT UNHINDERED DISPOSAL OF CZECHS AND THAT WE WILL SIMULTANEOUSLY RECEIVE INITIAL PAYMENTS. THEY HAVE ALSO SAID WHILE THAT THEY HAVE ALWAYS UNDERSTOOD THAT GOLD IS TO BE PHYSICALLY DELIVERED TO ZURICH, THIS ITSELF IS NOT MATTER OF PRINCIPLE. THEY ALSO EXPECT THAT ANY SHIPMENT WOULD BE AT THEIR ZQYNSE. WE HAVE POINTED OUT THAT PROCEDURES INVOLVING ISSUE OF DELIVERY ORDER RATHER THAN ACTUAL SHIPMENT ARE NORMAL PRACTICE. IN VIEW OF BRITISH PROBLEM, HOWEVER, AND PRESENCE OF GENUINE MISUNDERSTANDING, WE DO NOT FEEL ABLE TO INSIST CATEGORIC-ALLY ON OUR SCENARIO.
- 6. IF WE CORRECTLY UNDERSTAND OUR POSITION, QUESTION OF ACTUAL HANDLING OF GOLD IS MORE FUNCTION OF TRIPARTITE GOLD COMMISSION PRACTICE THAN OF US POLICY. IF THIS IS SO, AND GIVEN NEED TO DEAL WITH DISCREPANCY BETWEEN US ANNEX AND BRITISH AGREEMENT, WE FORESEE NECESSITY FOR CONSULTATION AMONG US, CZECH AND BRITISH (IF NOT ALSO FRENCH) REPRESENTATIVES IN ORDER TO RESOLVE AND HARMONIZE DELIVERY PROCEDURES. WE ASSUME THAT THIS WOULD BE LENGHTY PROCESS. WITH THIS IN MIND, WE AND CZECHS ARE DISCUSSING POSSIBILITY THAT WE SET CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PRAGUE 01516 271449Z

ASIDE GOLD ANNEX FOR TIME BEING AND INITIAL AGREEMENT WITH TEXT ADJUSTED TO PROVIDE THAT PROCEDURES FOR DELIVERY OF GOLD AND SIMULTANEOUS PAYMENTS BE AGREED PRIOR TO SIGNING.

7. WE SEE NO LEGAL FLAWS IN SUCH ARRANGEMENT. IF WE PROCEED ON THIS BASIS, IT WOULD MAVE ADVANTALGQOF COMPTHTING SUBSTANTIVE NEGOTIATION WITH AD REFERENDUM AGREEMENT ON ALL PROVISIONS AND TEXT. IT WOULD, OF COURSE, AVOID RECESS AT TIME WHEN CZECHS (AND, PRESUMABLY, WE) WOULD BE PLEASED TO REACH AGREEMENT ON CLAIMS. WHILE LEAVING PRO-

CEDURAL QUESTION UNRESOLVED OPENS RISK OF LATER FAILURE TO FORMALIZE INITIALLED AGREEMENT, THIS SEEMS HARDLY POSSIBLE IN CIRCUMSTANCES, PARTICULARLY SINCE CZECHS FULLY REALIZE THAT THEY CAN GET GOLD ONLY UNDER PROCEDURES AGREEABLE TO US, AND SINCE NO DIFFERENCES OF SUBSTANCE OR PRINCIPLE EXIST.

8. UNLESS DEPARTMENT CAN PROVIDE GUIDANCE WHICH COULD RESULT IN PROMPT RESOLUTION OF DIFFICULTY, WE WOULD APPRECIATE AS URGENTLY AS POSSIBLE REACTION TO ARRANGEMENT DESCRIBED IN PARA 6 ABOVE. IT WOULD BE HIGHLY DESIREABLE IF WE COULD QUICKLY TELL CZECHS WHETHER WE CAN PROCEED IN SOME FASHION OR MUST RECESS FOR CONSULTATIONS WITH BRITISH WLD COMMISSION. WORTZEL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: AGREEMENT DRAFT, TREATY SIGNATURE, DEBT AGREEMENTS, NEGOTIATIONS, GOLD TRANSACTIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 27 JUN 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974PRAGHE01516

Document Number: 1974PRAGUE01516 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740170-0198

From: PRAGUE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t1974068/aaaaagns.tel Line Count: 129 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION L Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: boyleja

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 17 APR 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <17 APR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <23-Sep-2002 by boyleja>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CLAIMS NEGOTIATIONS TAGS: PFOR, EFIN, CZ, US, TGC

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005